

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
3/942,415	10/16/97	KURODA		Υ	9711	54	
_		LM31/0420	7	EXAMINER			
RMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI				WALLERSON, M			
ICLELAND & NAUGHTON				ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
725 K STREET JITE 1000	- NW	• •		2722		1/	
JASHINGTON DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 04/20/00				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Yoshiharu Kuroda et al

Examiner

Advisory Action

Mark Wallerson

08/942,415

Group Art Unit

2722



ТН	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 5, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The proposed amendment(s):					
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	XI will not be entered because:					
	oxtimes they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
	X they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NOTE: Claim 1 has amended subject matter (a recording sheet supply part provided below said main body and					
	independent of said multi-purpose tray) which requires further search and consideration. Additionally, "a					
	multipurpose tray provided "far" below the document sheet outlet tray" was not disclosed in the original நெக்கர்கள்கள்					
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
	It raises new issues that would require further search and consideration and it raises the issue of new matter.					
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Claims allowed:					
	Claims objected to:					
	Claims rejected: 1-13					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
	MARK WALLERSON PATENT EXAMINER EDWARD L. COLES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700					